

Exceptions to the AET Model: Deserts and Clear-Cut Forest

Author(s): W. G. Whitford, V. Meentemeyer, T. R. Seastedt, K. Cromack, Jr., D. A. Crossley, Jr., P. Santos, R. L. Todd and J. B. Waide

WILEY

Source: Ecology, Feb., 1981, Vol. 62, No. 1 (Feb., 1981), pp. 275-277

Published by: Wiley on behalf of the Ecological Society of America

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1936687

REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1936687?seq=1&cid=pdfreference#references_tab_contents You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



Ecological Society of America and *Wiley* are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Ecology*

velopment at Barro Colorado Island. Pages 99–111 *in* F. B. Golley and E. Medina, editors. Tropical ecological systems. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

- Pyke, G. H., H. R. Pulliam, and E. L. Charnov. 1977. Optimal foraging: a selective review of theory and tests. Quarterly Review of Biology 52:137–154.
- Rockwood, L. L. 1972. Animal-plant interactions in a seasonal tropical environment. Dissertation. University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
 - _____. 1975. The effects of seasonality on foraging of two species of leaf-cutting ants (*Atta*) in Guanacaste Province, Costa Rica. Biotropica **7**:176–193.

Ecology, 62(1), 1981, pp. 275–277 © 1981 by the Ecological Society of America

EXCEPTIONS TO THE AET MODEL: DESERTS AND CLEAR-CUT FOREST¹

W. G. Whitford², V. Meentemeyer³, T. R. Seastedt⁴, K. Cromack, Jr.⁵, D. A. Crossley, Jr.⁴, P. Santos^{2,7}, R. L. Todd⁴, and J. B. Waide⁶

Meentemeyer (1978) developed a model for decomposition of litter as a function of actual evapotranspiration (AET) and lignin content. This model is a series of linear relationships differentiated by lignin content. Meentemeyer tested this model with existing data from a number of temperate and boreal forest sites. However, in his discussion of the model, Meentemeyer (1978) suggested that these relationships might not apply in deserts. Here, we show that the attractive correlation between AET and decomposition does not necessarily apply to all vegetation types nor to severely disturbed sites. Decomposition is higher than predicted by the AET model in deserts where AET is low, and lower than predicted for a mesic clear-cut forest where AET values are higher than uncut forests. We suggest that the attractive simplicity of the AET decomposition relationship fails in these systems because it does not account for marked changes in microclimate produced by ecosystem perturbation like clear-cutting, or for biotic adaptations in desert ecosystems.

Decomposition Rates on Clear-cut and Hardwood Forests at Coweeta

Decomposition rates of forest litter have previously been studied at the Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory in the southern Appalachian Mountains in North Carolina, USA (Cromack and Monk 1975). Those data were previously used in development of the AET model. In 1977, a hardwood watershed (WS 7) was cable logged and clear-cut, and litter decomposition rates — . 1976. Plant selection and foraging patterns in two species of leaf-cutting ants (*Atta*). Ecology **57**:48–61.

Willis, Edwin O. Populations and local extinctions of birds on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Ecological Monographs 55:153-169.

¹ Manuscript received 24 January 1980; accepted 19 August 1980. ² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721 USA. ³ Department of Zoology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602 USA.

were measured in 1978 using litter bags. Decomposition rates were concurrently measured on an adjacent hardwood watershed (WS 2). AET was calculated using the Thornwaite method from temperature and precipitation data collected on the clear-cut watershed. These AET values were then compared with decomposition rates of two species of litter measured in 1978 and earlier (Table 1). Dogwood (Cornus florida) leaf litter with 4.9% lignin and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus) leaf litter with 25.5% lignin were selected because of differences in lignin content (Cromack and Monk 1975). While lignin content appears strongly to influence decomposition rates, between-year differences should be predictable on the basis of differences in AET. The AET model predicted lower decomposition rates on WS 2 in 1978 due primarily to reduced rainfall, and was validated by lower measured rates of decomposition. However, the model predicted higher decomposition rates on WS 7 following clear-cutting, primarily because of higher temperatures, but the measured rates were substantially lower than predicted. (The AET value used here is a modeled value, and may differ from the AET measured by the United States Forest Service for entire watersheds at Coweeta.) Summer average maximum temperatures at the litter-soil interface on WS 7 in 1978 were about 40°C (R. L. Todd and J. B. Waide, personal observation). These high temperatures adversely affected faunal densities (Seastedt and Crossley 1981).

R. L. Todd and J. B. Waide (*personal observation*) measured CO_2 evolution and ATP amounts in litter and soil as indicators of microbial activity on WS 7 before and after clear-cutting. They did not find any significant changes resulting from the perturbation, suggesting that the rate of decomposition of organic matter in the entire soil profile was not appreciably altered. However, we suspect that microbial activity in the uppermost litter horizons where the litter bags

	Percent mass loss after one year				
	Dog	jwood	Ches	tnut oak	
Site	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted	(mm)
WS 18 (Hardwood forest, 2-yr average, 1969–1971)	71.6	62.8	45.4	40.9	713
WS 2 (Hardwood forest, 1977–1978)	47.1	56.6	26.9	36.7	647
WS 7 (Clear-cut forest, 1977–1978)	42.0	65.4	19.4	42.6	741

TABLE 1. Actual and predicted annual mass loss (%) of dogwood and chestnut oak litter on various Coweeta watersheds based on the AET model (derived from Meentemeyer 1978: Fig. 3).

were located was adversely affected by diurnal and longer term wetting and drying cycles that occurred on the clear-cut watershed (Witkamp and Frank 1970). Thus, reduced decomposition rates of the litter were attributed to reduced faunal comminution and, perhaps, to reduced microfloral respiration activity. Certainly the clear-cut watershed experienced pulses of extreme temperatures and moisture.

Decomposition Rates in Deserts

In desert ecosystems there are many factors which affect decomposition rates which cannot be predicted using the AET model alone. The clear-cut watershed at Coweeta is in several respects similar to a desert ecosystem. Low leaf area and surface temperature extremes are notable similarities, yet there are many differences. In deserts a portion of the annual litter fall is buried via interactions between animal activities, wind and water. Litter buried in the soil has been displaced to a new environment and should therefore have a different fate and different rate of decomposition than does surface litter (Santos and Whitford 1981).

Santos found that rainfall and soil temperature accounted for <50% of the variation in mass loss of buried creosotebush leaf litter. When arthropods were

TABLE 2. Percent mass loss of surface litter bags (N = 10 for each date) placed on gypsum dunes at White Sands National Monument, Otero County, New Mexico, in relation to AET values. Each bag contained thirty grams of oven-dried litter composed of equal portions of green stems of Mormon tea (*Ephedra trifurca*) leaves and small twigs of skunkbush sumac (*Rhus trilobata*) and green stems of rabbit brush (*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*).

Duration of experiment	Loss of mass (%)	AET (mm)
January-February (59 d) March-April (61 d) June-July (61 d) 15 July-October (102 d) November-February (120 d)	19.6 25.5 30.4 38.7 30.1	32.0 5.4 39.0 93.4 <u>102.6</u> 272.4

excluded, precipitation and air temperature explained 90% of the variation. Santos and Whitford (1981) also found that >50% of the surface organic matter disappeared during the growing season (April–October), over which total rainfall amounted to 137 mm. Between August and October, $57.4 \pm 7.7\%$ of litter in surface bags disappeared, with a total AET for that period of 33 mm. Much of that loss was attributed to foraging subterranean termites.

Short-term litter bag studies indicate that mixed litter in a desert ecosystem decomposes at a rate equal to or greater than that observed over a comparable time interval for litter in Southeastern forest ecosystems (Table 2). Using the annual AET from the White Sands Monument (272.4 mm) (Table 2) and lignin values of 10% and 50%, Meentemeyer's (1978) model predicts percent litter mass loss of 14.21% to 18.23% in this desert. Percent mass losses during the summer months were double this figure and only the winter mass losses approached the annual predicted mass loss based on AET and lignin content.

In the Chihuahuan and Sonoran deserts, activity by the surface foraging subterranean termites of the genus Gnathamitermes varies as a function of soil temperature and moisture (Johnson and Whitford 1975, La Fage et al. 1976). W. G. Whitford (personal observation) found that from mid-August through October (1979) percent mass losses of a perennial grass, fluff-grass, Erioneuron pulchellum, and an annual, Lepidium lasiocarpum, on plots with termites eliminated were $26.05 \pm 5.75\%$ and $24.4 \pm 10.05\%$, respectively. Where termites were present, $75.79 \pm$ 2.20% and 63.95 ± 18.8% of the mass disappeared. This is a 2.9- and 2.6-fold greater rate with termites present. Interestingly, this is almost exactly the magnitude by which the AET model underestimates the reported rates for deserts. In the natural litter, which was primarily creosotebush leaves and stems plus grass and forb fragments, etc., termites removed 20% more material than disappeared in the absence of termites.

Why should AET be a good predictor of organic matter loss in forests but not in deserts? Preliminary

February 1981

studies by W. G. Whitford and his co-workers (*personal observation*) have shown that mean soil temperature at 10-cm depth explained approximately 50% of the variation in organic matter loss. However, their data are only for short-term studies and losses in mass from creosotebush litter are initially high. Soil surface temperatures in midsummer reach 60–65°C and remain there for 3–5 h/d. High temperatures and intense radiation could cause breakdown and vola-tilization of breakdown products, especially of the wax layers and resins on the leaves of desert plants like creosotebush, but would also cause intense drying and a harsh environment for microarthropods.

In another study, W. G. Whitford and co-workers (personal observation) found that, in July, surface litter moisture content (by mass) increased during the night and by 2 h after sunrise was 6%. By midday the moisture content was a fraction of 1%. They found significant populations of oribatids and other microarthropods in the litter at 0800 but not at midday nor in the evening. It needs to be emphasized here that soil biota live where humidities of 90% or greater persist even in very dry soil. Also soil organisms can migrate vertically and can go into and out of anhydrobiosis to escape harsh surface conditions. Even in deserts, in summer, there are "windows" of moderate surface microclimates in litter, of 2-4 h duration, when organisms like oribatids, collembola, etc. can feed rapidly and transport quantities of material into lower soil for digestion and excretion. The nature and causes of these "windows" needs additional research. Vapor movement upward from warm soil to surface litter cooled at night, a process called distillation, causes, with dew, the condensation of moisture on litter. Intense biological activity is thereby possible daily, but not for all soil organisms.

Conclusions

Meentemeyer (1978) recognized that AET was only a correlate of some of the actual regulators of decomposition processes. Clearly, these regulators are microbial activity, faunal consumption, and interactions between microbes and fauna that stimulate both biotic and physical breakdown of litter (e.g., Witkamp and Crossley 1966, Witkamp 1969, Vossbrink et al. 1979). When temperatures exceed the tolerance limits of the forest biota, as likely occurred on the clear-cut watershed, then decomposition rates are slowed. In environmentally harsh systems such as deserts, the biota have evolved mechanisms that ameliorate environmental restraints on feeding activity, resulting in decomposition rates greater than predicted by the AET model. AET is generally a good predictor of decomposition rates because it is strongly correlated with biotic decomposition processes within a given range of temperature and moisture values. If these values are exceeded, or if behavioral adaptations of the biota circumvent abiotic constraints, then the relationship between AET and decomposition no longer holds.

Acknowledgements: Research and writing of this note was supported by National Science Foundation Grants DEB 77-16633 to W. G. Whitford, DEB 77-05234 to D. A. Crossley and DEB 79-22894 to K. Cromack, Jr., V. Meentemeyer, and J. B. Waide.

Literature Cited

- Cromack, K., Jr., and C. D. Monk. 1975. Litter production, decomposition and nutrient cycling in a mixed hardwood watershed and a white pine watershed. Pages 609–624 in F. G. Howell, J. B. Gentry and M. H. Smith, editors. Mineral cycling in Southeastern ecosystems. ERDA Symposium Series CONF 740513, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, USA.
- Johnson, K. A., and W. G. Whitford. 1975. Foraging ecology and relative importance of subterranean termites in Chihuahuan desert ecosystems. Environmental Entomology 4:66–70.
- La Fage, J. P., M. I. Haverty, and W. L. Nutting. 1976. Environmental factors correlated with foraging behavior of a desert subterranean termite, *Gnathamitermes perplexus* (Banks) (Isoptera: Termitidae). Sociobiology 2:155–169.
- Meentemeyer, V. 1978. Macroclimate and lignin control of litter decomposition rates. Ecology **59**:465–472.
- Santos, P. F., and W. G. Whitford. 1981, *in press*. The effects of microarthropods on litter decomposition in a Chihuahuan desert ecosystem. Ecology.
- Seastedt, T. R., and D. A. Crossley, Jr. 1981, in press. Microarthropod response following cable logging and clearcutting in the Southern Appalachians. Ecology.
- Vossbrink, C. R., D. C. Coleman, and T. A. Wooley. 1979. Abiotic and biotic factors in litter decomposition in a semiarid grassland. Ecology 60:265–271.
- Witkamp, M. 1969. Environmental effects on microbial turn over of some mineral elements. I. Abiotic factors. II. Biotic factors. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 1:167–184.
- Witkamp, M., and D. A. Crossley, Jr. 1966. The role of microarthropods and microflora in the breakdown of white oak litter. Pedobiologia 6:293-303.
 - ¹ Manuscript received 27 May 1980;
 - accepted 27 August 1980.
 - ² Department of Biology, New Mexico State University,
 - Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003 USA.
 - ³ Department of Geography, University of Georgia,
 - Athens, Georgia 30602 USA. ⁴ Institute of Ecology, University of Georgia,
 - Athens, Georgia 30602 USA.
- ⁵ Department of Forest Sciences, Oregon Štate University, Corvallis, Oregon 97330 USA.
 - ⁶ Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 39830 USA.
- ⁷ Permanent address: Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto
 - de Biociencias, U.N.E.S.P. Campus de Rio Claro, Caixa postal 178, 13.500 Rio Claro, Sao Paulo, Brazil.