Small mammal herbivory: Feedbacks that help maintain desertified ecosystems

G.A. Roth [a,b], W.G. Whitford [b], Y. Steinberger [a,*]

[a] The Mina & Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel
[b] USDA-ARS Jornada Experimental Range, MSC 3JER, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

We tested the hypothesis that herbivores contribute to feedbacks maintaining arid ecosystems in a degraded state. We studied small mammal herbivory on a subshrub, broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*), and perennial grasses at three sites: (1) ungrazed black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*) grassland; (2) grassland degraded by intense short-duration grazing; and (3) mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) coppice dunes. Snakeweed was browsed by herbivores primarily during dry winter months.

The average percent of *G. sarothrae* standing crop biomass removed by browsing was 9.2 in ungrazed grassland, 7.4 in intensely grazed grassland, and 4.1 in the dunes. In ungrazed grassland, an average of 12% of grass cover was harvested by herbivores; in the intensely grazed plots – 80%. Herbivore exclusion plots showed that jackrabbits (*Lepus californicus*) were the primary browsers on snakeweed and rodents on grasses and *G. sarothrae* inflorescences. Rodent removal of *G. sarothrae* inflorescences allows wind dispersal of seeds in disturbed and desertified areas, thereby increasing abundance of this poisonous shrub. Grass-tiller cutting by rodents provides a strong feedback that may be responsible for keeping the grass cover low on the intensely grazed areas. Jackrabbit pruning has little effect on *G. sarothrae* abundance at any stage of desertification.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

According to the non-equilibrium conceptual model of Westoby et al. (1989), semi-arid and arid ecosystems may change from a state that appears to be in equilibrium to one or more states that are not in equilibrium, a process frequently identified as desertification. The driver of shifts from equilibrium to non-equilibrium states is often changes in land management. Desertified ecosystems exist in altered stable states, which appear to be largely resistant and/or resilient to restoration efforts.

Much of the pre-pastoral industry grassland in the Chihuahan Desert has been degraded to shrub-dominated ecosystems (Buffington and Herbel, 1965; Gibbens et al., 2005). Desertification in the late 19th and 20th centuries occurred in the Americas, southern Africa, and Australia coincident with the development of the pastoral industries. In these regions, arid rangelands experienced rapid increase in abundance of unpalatable and poisonous woody shrubs concomitant with decreases in grass cover (Whitford, 2002). The conversion of desert grassland to shrubland has been ascribed to a combination of climatic factors, especially prolonged drought, and anthropogenic factors, especially overgrazing by domestic livestock. The stability, resistance, and resilience of desert scrub may be modified by the activities of native animals (Whitford, 2002; Roth et al., 2007). Small mammal herbivores are known to have an impact on desert grasses and shrubs. There are data from experimental studies that demonstrate that rodents and rabbits can reduce re-establishment of grasses and contribute to the stability of the vegetation in a desertified state (Curtin et al., 2000; Gibbens et al., 1993; Heske et al., 1993; Norris, 1950).

Broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*) has been identified as an important rangeland weed problem in southwestern rangelands in the United States (Sterling and Hou, 1997). Broom snakeweed, a short-lived subshrub, is poisonous to livestock and increases in abundance in intensely grazed desert grasslands (Whitford et al., 1999). Despite its toxicity to livestock, snakeweed is utilized by rodents (*Dipodomys* sp.) and jackrabbits (*Lepus californicus*) in the winter months (Currie and Goodwin, 1966; Daniel et al., 1993; Hayden, 1966; Steinberger and Whitford, 1983; Westoby, 1980). Rodents harvest seed heads from the top of the plant by severing the inflorescent stems and jackrabbits cut the live stems close to the root crown. Only the seeds and a small portion of the stem are removed by the herbivores and the remainder is left on the ground near the plant from which it was removed. This is characteristic behavior of jackrabbit browsing on shrubs (Chew and Chew, 1970; Steinberger and Whitford, 1983) and rodents feeding on perennial grass tillers (Kerley et al., 1997).

It has been suggested that changes in abundance and species composition of some assemblages of animals in desertified ecosystems may contribute to feedbacks that maintain the

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +972 3 531 8571; fax: +972 3 738 4058.
  E-mail addresses: Wawhitfo@nmsu.edu (W.G. Whitford), steinby@mail.biu.ac.il (Y. Steinberger).

system(s) in a degraded state (Whitford, 1993). In order to test this hypothesis, we designed studies of herbivory on *G. sarothrae* and native grasses in grassland in relatively good condition, overgrazed grassland, and mesquite coppice dunes, which constitute the end point in degradation of Chihuahuan Desert grassland ecosystems.

2. Methods

Study plots were established in an area in the Jornada Basin administered by the U. S. Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service's Jornada Experimental Range (JER) located approximately 50 km NNE of Las Cruces, NM.

Herbivory studies were conducted at three locations within a 3 km radius. Two study sites were located in an ecotone between black grama grassland and mesquite coppice dunes. The third study site was located within a mature mesquite coppice dune area. The grassland was dominated by black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*), which accounted for more than 50% of the grass cover with drop-seeds (*Sporobolus* sp.) and three awns (*Aristida* sp.) as subdominants. The grassland studies were conducted on three grazed (percent live grass cover of 0.8 ± 1.5) and three ungrazed (percent live grass cover of 17.4 ± 2.8), half-hectare plots from which all mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) shrubs had been removed manually. Each plot was fenced with three-strand barbed wire to exclude other livestock from the experiment. The grazed plots were stocked with 20–40 yearling steers in each of three successive years: 1995–1997. Stocking rate was adjusted to remove 65–80% of the estimated available forage within a 36–48-h period (Nash et al., 2004).

The grassland plots were compared with a mesquite coppice dune site. We established 15, 4 m × 10 m plots at random within three sites of each treatment (grazed, ungrazed, and coppice dune). We measured species composition, cover, and volume, and evidence of previous browsing on all plants within each plot. We mapped the location of each snakeweed (*G. sarothrae*) and grass tussock in each plot in order to assess temporal patterns of herbivory. All plots were monitored each month between December 2002 and October 2003. All plants that exhibited signs of browsing were measured (height and two diameters) at each sampling period. All uneaten stems and leaves were collected, returned to the laboratory and dried at 60 °C for a minimum of 48 h. The biomass of *G. sarothrae* was calculated by an equation based on plant volume: $y = 460 \cdot 4/3\,\pi \cdot r \cdot h^2, r^2 = 0.91$ where y = biomass in grams, r = radius in cm, and h = height in cm (Ludwig et al., 1975).

A single assessment of grass herbivory was conducted in November 2002 on the black grama grassland in both the grazed and ungrazed plots. Grass herbivory was quantified by loss of cover measured by the area of the crown that was pruned. Grass species monitored were black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*), three awns (*Aristida* spp.), and dropseed (*Sporobolus* spp.).

We used the color and shape of fecal pellets deposited within 30 cm of browsed plants to identify the herbivore species. Black-tailed jackrabbits (*Lepus californicus*) and desert cottontails (*Sylvilagus audubonii*) produce fecal pellets that are distinctively different in shape, size, and color, and both can be temporally classified by color. Fresh feces are characterized by a dark brown/black color.

In order to identify the pruning characteristics of the different herbivores utilizing snakeweed, we recorded browsing on

snakeweed at three sites established by the Jornada Long-Term Ecological Research Program. We recorded data from four plots at each of the three sites: livestock exclosure (barbed wire fenced plot); livestock and rabbit exclosure (barbed wire fence and chicken wire 1 m high); livestock, rabbit, and rodent exclosure (barbed wire, chicken wire, and a metal sheet 50 cm above ground and 50 cm deep); control (no fence). All snakeweed plants were measured and severed plant material left on the ground by herbivores was collected from three 20 m × 1 m transects in each plot.

All the data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SAS model with Duncan's multiple range test used to evaluate the differences among means. Seasonal pattern of herbivory were examined by repeated measures analysis of variance.

3. Results

There were large differences in abundance (density) and biomass of snakeweed among the study sites with the intensively grazed sites having the highest number of plants and the highest biomass (Table 1). Snakeweed density, biomass, and plant volume were significantly lower in the mesquite coppice dune sites than in the grassland sites. There was three to four times as much snakeweed biomass removed by browsing in the grasslands than at the coppice dune sites (Table 1).

Spatial variability among those plants that were browsed by jackrabbits and plants that were untouched contributed to the lack of differences in biomass removed by browsing and the fraction of the total standing biomass of snakeweed at each location (Table 1). Some plants had all of the live stems removed and neighboring plants exhibited no sign of browsing.

There were large seasonal differences in the amounts of biomass removed by browsing herbivores. Total amounts of snakeweed biomass removed per month were greatest in the dry winter months (Fig. 1). Biomass removed during the winter months was related to the standing crop biomass of *G. sarothrae* at each site (Table 1, Fig. 1). Browsing on snakeweed from April to October was very low. During September and October virtually all of the biomass removed from snakeweed was the leafless inflorescence stems with multiple seed heads. Browsing of woody leaf-bearing stems ended in June.

Of 1683 snakeweed plants monitored at the grassland sites, (grazed and ungrazed plots combined), 619 plants were browsed and, of these, 173 (27.9%) were browsed twice and 43 (6.9%) were further browsed a third time or more. There were 835 incidents of rabbit browsing. There was insufficient repeat browsing on snakeweed in the mesquite coppice dune site to make a comparable analysis of browsing at this site.

Jackrabbit browsing accounted for $76.2 \pm 20.7\%$ of the browsed plants ($n = 15$) based on fecal pellets deposited near browsed plants on the ungrazed plots. On the grazed plots, jackrabbits accounted for 53.5 ± 16.8 ($n = 13$) of browsed plants based on fecal pellets near the browsed plants. There were no cottontail rabbit fecal pellets near browsed plants at any of the three study sites.

Since there was no evidence of browsing on snakeweed in the cattle/rabbit/rodent exclosure plots, these three groups of animals are potential herbivores on *G. sarothrae*. The data from exclosure

Table 1
Mean density, biomass, and biomass removed ± SE by browsing of broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*) at three study sites on the Jornada Experimental Range.

Site	Density (no ha⁻¹)	Biomass (kg ha⁻¹)	Biomass removed (kg ha⁻¹)
Ungrazed grassland	9217 ± 4220^a	173.7 ± 54.6^a	$15.5 \pm 8.2\ (9.2 \pm 4.3)$
Grazed grassland	$18{,}833 \pm 10{,}030^b$	257.7 ± 78.5^b	$19.1 \pm 11.6\ (7.4 \pm 4.1)$
Mesquite dunes	3983 ± 2159^c	120.1 ± 65.6^c	$4.8 \pm 7.5\ (4.1 \pm 6.0)$

Numbers in parentheses are percent of standing crop removed by browsing ± SE. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Fig. 1. Comparison of total biomass removed from snakeweed, *Gutierrezia sarothrae*, each month in ungrazed grassland, degraded, intensely grazed grassland, and in desertified mesquite, *Prosopis glandulosa*, coppice dunes.

plots designed to eliminate different herbivores demonstrated that rabbits (*Lepus californicus* or *Sylvilagus auduboni*) account for most of the browsing on snakeweed (Table 2). The cattle/rabbit exclosure was accessible only to rodents. There was significantly less browsing waste materials in those plots and most of that material was inflorescent stems. Because there was no significant difference in quantity of browsed stems on the ground in the unfenced and cattle exclosure plots, it is evident that browsing on woody stems of *G. sarothrae* is by rabbits (Table 2).

There were no grasses on most of the coppice dune plots, therefore, an assessment of rodent clipping on the grasses could not be made at those sites. The proportion of the tillers of grass species that were clipped by rodents on the grazed plots relative to the total cover of those species was significantly higher than that on the ungrazed plots (Table 3).

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates that pruning by jackrabbits had little, if any, effect on the abundance of snakeweed. Thus there is no evidence of feedback by jackrabbit herbivory on snakeweed that either reinforces desertification or reduces the abundance of *G. sarothrae* sufficiently to have an effect on desertified areas. However, there is strong indirect evidence that clipping of grass tillers by rodents keeps grass tussocks small and reduces production of seeds by native grasses. The removal of flowering tillers of desert grasses by rodents has been identified as a keystone process in desert grasslands (Kerley et al., 1997). This study shows that grass cutting by rodents is of little consequence in relatively intact desert grassland but had a large effect on grasses in areas in mid-stage degradation or desertification.

The study of browsing on *G. sarothrae* in the herbivore exclosures provided clear evidence that rabbits account for most of the pruning of snakeweed. When rabbits and rodents were excluded, there were no signs of pruning of snakeweed. This study suggests that rodents account for cutting approximately one-sixth of the

snakeweed biomass that is removed by rabbits. The warm-season browsing on snakeweed was primarily the removal of flowering stalks, which were apparently severed by kangaroo rats (*Dipodomys* sp.) (Kerley et al., 1997). Pruning and wastage of flowering heads of *G. sarothrae* contribute to the dispersal of snakeweed because the clipped flowering heads are easily moved by wind. About 50% of snakeweed achenes are dispersed between October and December and peak achene viability (82%) is during the winter months (Wood et al., 1997). Browsing, which includes cutting and releasing seed heads for wind dispersal, occurs at the same time as the peak in achene viability. Since the severed flowering heads of *G. sarothrae* have a large surface area to mass ratio, the achenes are wind dispersed even at low wind speeds (DeSoyza et al., 1997). Although browsing on snakeweed by rodents represents a small fraction of the total biomass lost to herbivory, rodent herbivory on *G. sarothrae* appears to provide positive feedback on desertification by enhancing the dispersal of *G. sarothrae* seeds.

The fecal pellet data suggest that jackrabbits are the agents responsible for pruning *G. sarothrae*. While desert cottontail rabbits occur in these habitats at low densities, there were no cottontail fecal pellets in the vicinity of browsed snakeweed on any of the plots. The seasonal patterns of jackrabbit pruning of *G. sarothrae* were similar to those reported in earlier studies of seasonal differences in *L. californicus* diets (Currie and Goodwin, 1966; Fatehi, 1986; Westoby, 1980). Jackrabbits used *G. sarothrae* in the dry winter period (November–February), which was confirmed by the fecal pellets deposited within 30 cm of pruned shrubs.

Our estimates of the fraction of the standing crop of *G. sarothrae* pruned by jackrabbits are conservative because these values were based on the unconsumed wastage collected from the plots. Chew and Chew (1970) estimated that jackrabbits pruned 4% of the above-ground shrub production but ate only one half of that amount. Steinberger and Whitford (1983) estimated that only 30% of the pruned vegetative material was eaten, with the remainder unconsumed. Based on earlier estimates of tissue consumption and wastage, jackrabbits pruned between 18 and 27% of the standing crop biomass of snakeweed in the ungrazed plots, between 15 and 21% in the grazed plots, and between 8 and 12% in the mesquite coppice dune plots. Actual quantities of snakeweed biomass lost to herbivory are, therefore, estimated at 18–27%, 15–21%, and 8–12% in ungrazed, grazed, and dune sites, respectively.

The seasonal patterns of jackrabbit pruning of *G. sarothrae* are similar to those described by other researchers (Currie and Goodwin, 1966; Fatehi, 1986; Westoby, 1980) who reported significant seasonal differences in jackrabbit diets. Jackrabbit use of *G. sarothrae* in the dry winter period (November–February) was

Table 2
The effect of excluding different herbivore species on browsing of snakeweed, *Gutierrezia sarothrae*, in a northern Chihuahuan Desert grassland.

Plot type	Browsed snakeweed branches and foliage (kg ha^{-1})
No fence	6.8 ± 1.4[a]
Cattle exclosure	6.6 ± 5.2[a]
Cattle/rabbit exclosure	1.1 ± 0.6[b]
Cattle/rabbit/rodent exclosure	0.0 ± 0.0[a,b]

Values that are significantly different ($p < 0.05$) are identified by different letters.

Table 3
Basal area of tillers cut by rodents on grazed and ungrazed plots relative to the total percentage of cover of each grass species measured at the end of the growing season.

Grass species	Area clipped ungrazed plots (cm^2 per m^2)	Ungrazed plots proportion of total clipped (%)	Area clipped ungrazed plots (cm^2 per m^2)	Grazed plots proportion of total clipped (%)
Bouteloua eripoda	8.2 ± 7.4	5.1[a]	5.7 ± 6.0	73.3[b]
Aristida purpurea	0.88 ± 1.8	3.3[a]	1.1 ± 1.5	73.3[b]
Aristida ternipes	5.1 ± 13.5	24.7[a]	0.7 ± 1.3	77.5[b]
Sporobolus spp.	2.3 ± 5.2	16.2[a]	0.7 ± 1.6	97.0[b]

Proportions of total cover clipped by rodents that are different ($p < 0.05$) are indicated by different letters.

confirmed by the fecal pellets deposited within 30 cm of pruned shrubs. All of the fecal pellets were from jackrabbits.

Acknowledgements

References

Buffington, L.C., Herbel, C.H., 1965. Vegetational changes on a semidesert grassland range from 1858 to 1963. Ecological Monographs 35, 139–164.

Chew, R.M., Chew, A.E., 1970. Energy relationship of the mammals of the desert scrub (*Larrea tridentata*) community. Ecological Monographs 40, 1–21.

Currie, P.O., Goodwin, D.L., 1966. Consumption of forage by black-tailed jackrabbits on salt-desert ranges of Utah. Journal of Wildlife Management 30, 304–311.

Curtin, C.G., Kelt, D.A., Frey, T.C., Brown, J.H., 2000. On the role of small mammals in mediating climatically driven vegetation change. Ecology Letters 3, 309–317.

Daniel, A., Holechek, J.L., Valdez, R., Tembo, A., Saiwana, L., Rusco, M., Cardenas, M., 1993. Range condition influences on Chihuahuan desert cattle and jackrabbit diets. Journal of Range Management 46, 296–301.

DeSoyza, A., Whtiford, W.G., Martinez-Meza, E., Van Zee, J., 1997. Variation in creosotebush (*Larrea tridentata*) canopy morphology in relation to habitat, soil fertility and associated annual plant communities. American Midland Naturalist 137, 13–26.

Fatehi, M., 1986. Comparative seasonal food habits of back-tailed jackrabbits and cattle in semidesert rangeland. MSc thesis, New Mexico State University.

Gibbens, R.P., Havstad, K.M., Billheimer, D.D., Herbel, C.H., 1993. Creosote bush vegetation after 50 years of lagomorph exclusion. Oecologia 94, 210–217.

Gibbens, R.P., McNeely, R.P., Havstad, K.M., Beck, R.F., Nolen, B., 2005. Vegetation changes in the Jornada Basin from 1858 to 1998. Journal of Arid Environments 61, 651–668.

Hayden, P., 1966. Food habits of black-tailed jackrabbits in southern Nevada. Journal of Mammalogy 47, 42–46.

Heske, E.J., Brown, J.H., Guo, Q.F., 1993. Effects of kangaroo rat exclusion on vegetation structure and plant species diversity in the Chihuahuan Desert. Oecologia 95, 520–524.

Kerley, G.I.H., Whitford, W.G., Kay, F.R., 1997. Mechanisms for the keystone status of kangaroo rats: graminivory rather than granivory? Oecologia 111, 422–428.

Ludwig, J.A., Reynolds, J.F., Whitson, P.D., 1975. Size-biomass relationship of several Chihuahuan Desert shrubs. American Midland Naturalist 94, 451–461.

Nash, M.S., Jackson, E., Whitford, W.G., 2004. Effects of intense, short-duration grazing on microtopography in a Chihuahuan Desert grassland. Journal of Arid Environments 56, 383–393.

Norris, J.J., 1950. Effects of rodents, rabbits, and cattle on two vegetation types in semidesert rangeland. New Mexico Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin 353.

Roth, G.A., Whitford, W.G., Steinberger, Y., 2007. Jackrabbit (*Lepus californicus*) herbivory changes dominance in desertified Chihuahuan Desert ecosystems. Journal of Arid Environments 70, 418–426.

Steinberger, Y., Whitford, W.G., 1983. The contribution of shrub pruning by jackrabbits to litter input in a Chihuahuan Desert ecosystem. Journal of Arid Environments 6, 183–187.

Sterling, T.M., Hou, Y.L., 1997. Genetic diversity of broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*) and threadleaf snakeweed (*G. microcephala*) populations. Weed Science 45, 674–680.

Westoby, M., 1980. Black-tailed jack rabbit diets in Curlew Valley, northern Utah. Journal of Wildlife Management 44, 942–948.

Westoby, M., Walker, B., Noy-Meir, I., 1989. Range management on the basis of a model which does not seek to establish equilibrium. Journal of Arid Environments 17, 235–239.

Whitford, W.G., 1993. Animal feedbacks in desertification: an overview. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 66, 243–251.

Whitford, W.G., 2002. Ecology of Desert Systems. Academic Press, London.

Whitford, W.G., Rapport, D.J., DeSoyza, A.G., 1999. Using resistance and resilience measurements for 'fitness' tests in ecosystem health. Journal of Environmental Management 57, 21–29.

Wood, B.L., McDaniel, K.C., Clason, D., 1997. Broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*) dispersal, viability, and germination. Weed Science 45, 77–84.